

A holistic approach to acoustic design of open offices – ISO 22955

Morten Roar Berg



Making the world a better home

Morten Roar Berg Business Relations Manager Saint-Gobain Denmark A/S

morten.roar.berg@saint-gobain.com +45 26 87 50 62



Gyptone[®]







HOW DOES OUR BUILDINGS SOUND?



- Apfel, Robert E. (1998): "Deaf Architects & Blind Acousticians?" Apple Enterprises Press., New Haven, Connecticut, USA.
- Kimmelman, Michael (2005) "Dear Architects Sound Matters." Critics Notebook, The New York Times.
- Treasure, Julian (2011): "Sound Business How to use Sound to Grow Profits and Brand Value." Anden udgave, Manegement Books Ltd., Gloucestershire, England.
- Blesser, B. & Salter, L.R. (2007): "Places Speak, are you Listening? – Experiencing Aural Architecture, MIT Press, Massechusetts, USA.
- Massie, Caroline (2014): "Soundscape Architecture Analyzes Aural Characteristics of Iconic Buildings." Architect – The Journal of the American Institute of Architects, Hanley Wood Media, Washington, USA.
- Cobussen, Marcel (2016): "Towards a 'New' Sonic Ecology." Inaugural lecture, University of Leiden, Leiden, Holland.



Leesman features by importance



Importance Not Provided Highly Dissatisfied Dissatisfied Neutral Satisfied Highly Satisfied Data ranked by importance ----- total no of respondents

Leesman





_

WORKING MEMORY - TEST





> INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 22955

First edition 2021-05

Acoustics — Acoustic quality of open office spaces

Acoustique — Qualité acoustique des espaces de bureaux ouverts



This document is concerned with the acoustics of open-plan spaces and, more specifically, cognitive effects of noise, i.e. acoustic comfort and noise disturbance linked to the obligations of the activity.

It is intended for stakeholders working in the planning, design, construction or layout of open-plan offices. Its aim is to help them provide users with a good level of acoustic comfort. It is meant as a basis for discussion and dialogue between the stakeholders involved in creating office spaces. In particular, it is intended for project owners to fine-tune the drafting of the acoustic specifications and help project management companies decide upon their objectives and the resources linked to the architecture and layout of open-plan offices.

The aim of this document is to <u>offer principles</u>, <u>descriptors and measurement methods</u> to characterise acoustics, which are easy to use and correspond to the perception of the acoustical environment by the occupants of the spaces.



_

STRUCTURE ISO 22955

- What's in there?







ISO 22955

- Methodology

Offices \rightarrow two theoretically contradictory activities: oral communication and focused individual work

Intended to guide through the acoustic planning of open office areas

- ✤ 6 types of open areas defined
- * Goals and target values are set for each type of activity

The standard is here to protect workers

- It's outside of the standard to change people's behaviour but rather to provide them the right place to work and by doing that one will influence their behaviour to be more collectively responsable
- It cannot foresee the individual perceptions of users associated with the working conditions and the use of the spaces. It offers a comprehensive approach to support acoustic management in OP projects

Proposed method

- ⁱUp to one how much you want to implement
- Show paths where to go in order to avoid future dissapointments



ISO 22955 - SECTION 3.4

– Acoustic Descriptors

D_{A,S}: in situ acoustic attenuation of speech Difference, in decibels, between an A-weighted speech source spectrum at 1 m from an omni-directional source in the free field and the A-weighted sound pressure level at a reception point







ISO 22955 - "CHAPTER 1"

- Types of activities

- 1) Vacant floor activity still unknown
- 2) Tele- and video communication mainly focusing on external communication
- 3) Mainly collaborative work often verbal communication with nearby colleagues
- 4) Small amount of collaborative work sporadic verbal communication with nearby colleagues
- 5) Welcoming areas receiving the public
- 6) Mixed spaces combining two or more activities in the same space





1) VACANT FLOOR

- activity still unknown

Table G.1 — Acoustic indicators and values when the activity isn't known yet

Acoustic challenges	Description, criterion	Target values	Required values]
Minimising effect of many simultaneous sources Preventing "Lombard" effect	This parameter should only be assessed if a sus- pended ceiling has been installed.		$\frac{A}{S_{\text{Floor}}} \ge 0,9$	90% of the surfation the ceiling with
	Attenuation of the amplifi- cation of the room as much as possible by reducing reverberation.			

ace of $\alpha_w = 1$





SPACE 2-3

- telecommunication, collaboration and little collaboration

Table 1 — Acoustic indicators and values — Activity mainly focusing on outside of the room communication (by telephone/audio/video)

Interaction	Acoustic challenges	Description, criterion	Target values	Required values
			1.18	
				Attenuation
				$D_{A,S} \ge 6 \text{ dB}$
				<i>T_r</i> ≤ 0,5 s ^b
				<i>T_r</i> ≤ 0,8 s at 125 Hz
				Noise reduction inside room
				$D_{2S} \ge 7 \text{ dB}$
				$L_{p,\mathrm{A},\mathrm{S},\mathrm{4}\mathrm{m}} \leq 47~\mathrm{dB}$



High absorption (short reverberation time)

Sound propagation control requires screens





5) WELCOMING AREAS

Table 4 — Acoustic indicators and values — Activity can involve receiving public

Interaction	Acoustic challenges	Description, criterion	Target values	Required values	
			1.00		
				Attenuation $D_{A,S} \ge 6 \text{ dB}$ $T_r \le 0.8 \text{ s}^{\text{b}}$ $T_r \le 1.0 \text{ s at } 125 \text{ Hz}$ $L_{\text{Aeq.1hr}} \le 50 \text{ dB} - \text{un-occupied}$	Absorption m present Sound levels
					_ not be too hig average.



nust be

should gh on



6) MIXED SPACES

- Potential D_{A,S} target values

Source/receiver space type	Informal meetings (open plan)	Outside of the room communica- tion (phone)	Collabora- tive	Non- collabora- tive		Focused individual work
s 💽 A (🖘)	15	15	10	24	27	32
Informal meegs (open plan)	15	12	15	21	24	29
Outside of the room communication (phone)			12	18	21	29
Collaborative				18	21	26
Non-collaborative					18	23
Focused phone					21	26

Table 6 — Potential $D_{A,S}$ ratings between different types of spaces [6]

NOTE 1 In order to keep the noise level within the social and welfare space under control and avoid Lombard effect, a certain amount of absorption is needed. It is recommended to have an absorption area of at least 90 % of the floor surface. $A/S_{Floor} = 0.9$.

NOTE 2 These values are derived based on assumptions regarding background sound levels, source vocal effort, and proposed signal to noise ratios. These values may vary depending on the context.

"Distraction sensitivity"



The greater the difference in activity and sensitivity to noise, the greater the attenuation that is appropriate between activities.

Noise emission









- Assumed noise levels

Table 5 — Workstation noise levels assumed for different types of activity

Receiver space type	Informal meetings (open plan)	Outside of the room communica- tion (phone)	Collabora- tive	Non- collabora- tive	Focused phone	Focused individual work
Workstation noise level (dBA)	48	48	45	42	42	40

If suitable workstation noise levels are assumed for each type of space, as noted in <u>Table 5</u> above, the in situ level difference $D_{A,S}$ can be determined between different types of spaces based on an A-weighted source speech level of 57 dB at 1 m. See <u>Table 6</u>.

Combining two or more activities in the same space



DENMARK —

CLEAR INSTRUCTIONS FOR ACOUSTIC DESIGN

- Room acoustic design

General:

Acoustic design of rooms fundamentally involves covering room surfaces exposed to sound with sound absorptive materials to limit sound reflections. The more sound absorbing a given material is (e.g. higher sound absorption coefficient), the better.

p. 15 – s. 6.4.1

Ceiling treatment

The ceiling is the most important room surface to treat in open offices and should be as sound absorbing as possible. A wall-to-wall sound absorbing ceiling is preferable.

p. 16 – s. 6.4.2



NOTE The ceiling surface cannot be fully treated, when trying to take advantage of the building thermal inertia. In this case, treatment methods using suspended absorptive elements covering approximately 50 % to 60 % of the open-plan space ceiling surface is preferred. To increase spatial decay, treatment elements are placed as low as ergonomic requirements permit because floating island solutions of this type are all the more effective when their top surfaces are exposed to the sound field.



CLEAR INSTRUCTIONS FOR ACOUSTIC DESIGN

- Room acoustic treatment

Walls treatment

Wall absorbers is a good way of reducing reverberation time if the open office is sparsely furnished (lacking diffusion). They likewise minimize flutter echoes as well as sound reflections for workstations located close to walls, particularly in corners of open offices. Wall absorbers should be installed in the ear height of the users of the room.

p. 16 – s. 6.4.3

V

X

Floor treatment

In general, the effect of acoustic treatment on floor surfaces in open offices is not significant. Unless highly specialized solutions like perforated cavity flooring is installed. Soft floorings only contribute slight absorption in the higher frequency ranges. Main room acoustic benefit of soft flooring is to minimize impact noise from steps and furniture.

p. 16 - s. 6.4.4.

CLEAR INSTRUCTIONS FOR ACOUSTIC DESIGN

- Room acoustic treatment

Acoustic Screens

Acoustic screens improve speech privacy through an open office. They do this by minimizing the distance sound spreads. The effect of acoustic screens depends on the quality of room acoustic design. The less reflective surfaces present, the better the effect. Furthermore, acoustic screens extending both above and below desks provides better acoustic effect.

p. 17 s. 6.5.2

Furniture

As a rule of thumb, furniture will not be sufficient to meet the acoustic needs of an open office space. Instead design priority for acoustic treatment should be given to treating room surfaces.

p. 16 – s. 6.5.1









CLEAR INSTRUCTIONS FOR ACOUSTIC DESIGN

- Workplace layout

General recommendations:

- all cooperating workstations should be grouped together (teams, projects);
- different teams or services should be separate, even if occasional cooperation is possible;
- movements between workstations and supporting spaces such as a photocopying area, meeting rooms, etc. should be optimized. Distinction should be made between supporting spaces directly available to open-plan space users (nearby supporting areas including break areas and meeting rooms) and supporting spaces that do not need to be directly associated or on the same floor as the relevant open-plan space (e.g. cafeterias or training rooms);
- adjacent supporting spaces should be used as much as possible to structure the open-plan space.
 Separations may be used, if distance is lacking;
- movement areas should be delimited visually (marked) to separate the workspaces from movement flows through the open-plan space;
- doors should be used to isolate supporting areas from the open-plan space are designed not to cause disturbance (clearance or acoustic insulation).

These measures can be taken while in compliance with usual regulations governing workspace design, particularly in relation to personal safety and accessibility.



Annex B (normative)

Flow chart summarising the approach

Annex C (informative)

Collective use of open-plan spaces: etiquette

Annex D (informative)

Example of a user survey on open-plan office acoustics







Annex F (informative)

Sound masking systems

The use of sound masking systems in open plan offices is controversial, and attitudes towards this technology differ widely. For some people there is an expectation for the technology to be incorporated in all new office buildings; others have found it counter-productive and have switched it off. The controversy is centred around the potential for masking sound to be effective (at masking intrusive sounds), without becoming annoying itself, due to the level of the sound (potentially leading to fatigue) or any qualities that attract attention^[2].



FIND MORE HERE:



ISO 22955 brochure





Acoustic design video conferencing



Acoustic design with screens



UNRELEASED STUDY ON INSITU ACOUSTIC ATENUATION OF SPEECH – WRITE ME



¹ mortan berg@acophon.se ² hasselstrom alexander@gmail.com ¹ yoan la-must@saint-gobain.com

Unreleased study on Insitu Acoustic Atenuation of speech – write me



BROUGHT TO YOU BY ECOPHON

Blog on acoustics





